# Governance Mini Summit

February 4-5, 2016 Lecture Room 1 & 2 College of Micronesia-FSM Tofol, Kosrae FSM



# Kosrae Campus

### Outcomes

### Day 1 (February 4, 2016)

- Demonstrate understanding as to why committees must go through a formal administrative approval in order to change a committee"s meeting date, time, and name.
- Demonstrate awareness of Standard IV.A Decision Making Roles and Processes.
- Discuss Participatory Governance Board Policy 2200 and Administrative Procedure 2200 and offer recommendations towards improvement.
- Discuss and recommend alternative pathways for faculty service to the college in lieu of committee service.
- Demonstrate knowledge of the committee appointment process.
- Demonstrate awareness of committee"s assigned Ex Officio officer and that officer"s role.
- Define Participatory governance and discuss ways to improve individual, committee, and institutional efforts.
- Explain the role of the chairperson.

#### Day 2 (February 5, 2016)

- Demonstrate awareness of the college priority and strategic direction to Focus on Student Success.
- Demonstrate awareness of the Quality Focus Essay presented in our Self Evaluation Report that is also focused on student success.
- Demonstrate and commit to ways in which you can improve student success over the next three years.
- Discuss ways in which your committee can play a role to improve student success.
- Demonstrate awareness of the work conducted by the Core Values Working Group.
- Discuss COM-FSM Core Values, their meaning and their purpose.
- Locate and apply the Strengthening Purposeful Dialogue handbook
- Articulate institutional priorities that should drive committee decisions.
- Demonstrate awareness of where to locate the college mission, strategic plan, Integrated Educational Master Plan (IEMP), policies

and administrative procedures.

- Articulate to whom committee decisions and recommendations should be communicated for informational purposes and for administrative consideration, action and feedback.
- Discuss and recommend ways to improve board and administrative feedback to the college community.
- Articulate strategies for the chairperson to run effective meetings.
- Discuss and recommend strategies for improving and for communicating committee minutes.
- Articulate the importance of posting minutes to the COM-FSM wiki in a timely manner.
- Commit to improving practices for more efficient, effective, enjoyable meetings.

## **Participants**

A total of (42) participants consist of staff and faculty of COM-FSM Kosrae Campus. Participants were divided into 7 groups according to the Kosrae Standing Committees.

## Mini Governance Summit Activities

Day 1

- 1. Recitation of the college mission and opening remarks by Acting Dean of Kosrae Campus Mr. Nena Mike.
- Session 1: What is shared governance and why should I be involved? Presenter: Ms. Sharon C.Oviedo Group Presentation
- 3. Session 2: BP 2200 and AP 2200 Presenter: Mr.Skipper Ittu Group Presentation
- 4. Mini-summit assessment and announcement of activities for Day 2.

Mini Governance Summit

Day 2

- 5. Recitation of the college mission.
- Session 3: Purposeful Dialogue Presenter: Mr. Tara Tara Group Presentation
- 7. Mr. Renton Isaac presented an update on the COM-FSM Core Values.
- 8. *Session 4*: Committee Minutes Presenter: Mr. George Dokowe Group Presentation
- 9. Ms. Sharon Oviedo discussed the Quality Focus Essay, why the college prioritizes student success and the mini-work plan for student success.
- 10. Acting Dean Mr. Nena Mike gave an update on the mock accreditation visit and possible questions for the actual accreditation visit on March 2016.
- 11. Mini-summit assessment for day 2, distribution of certificate of participation and raffle draw.

# SUMMIT RESULTS

<b>QUESTIONS</b> Session 1: Shared Governance	KOSRAE CAMPUS RESPONSES ( Standing Committees)
What is shared governance and why should I be involved?	<ul> <li>Records , Admission and Retention Committee</li> <li>Input from everyone</li> <li>Everybody feels responsible(equally important)</li> <li>Creating self-motivation( no conflicts)</li> <li>Team work</li> <li>Active Participation</li> <li>Clear Accountability and Transparency</li> <li>Illustration: wood, knife and match</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>Shared Governance</li> <li>Giving various groups of people a share in key decision-making processes</li> <li>Everyone has a role( ex IC, Faculty, LRC,)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Not Shared Governance</li> <li>One person makes decision</li> <li>No participation at all stages</li> <li><i>Human Resources Committee</i></li> <li>Shared Governance means everyone has a role in decision making</li> <li>Departmentalized participation.</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Information Technology Committee</li> <li>Shared governance gives everyone a role and a voice ( includes staff, faculty, students, administration)</li> <li>Each expert in their field is a part of the appropriate committee</li> <li>Advice from all members is used for decision-making with one person accountable for final decision</li> <li>Maximum participation</li> <li>Continuous communication</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Student Success Committee</li> <li>At our Campus, doesn't the student have a role in shared governance?</li> <li>What are the parameters of our role in planning and decision making because everything seems to be defined by National Campus?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>Ability to provide information and updated data to supervisors for reference on decision making that directly/indirectly affect student learning condition.</li> <li>Does not have total control or possession on facilities. We do not own it, we manage. We are not the owners, we are plain stewards.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>A governing body where everyone has a duty for a decision making</li> <li>Shared governance is a messy word</li> <li>Everyone has a voice/role</li> <li>What is shared governance in your committee?</li> </ul>
<b>QUESTIONS</b> Session 2: BP 2200 and AP 2200	KOSRAE CAMPUS RESPONSES (Standing Committees)
Does BP 2200 and AP 2200 help us meet standard IV .A? How?	Finance Committee         > It's not         > Immeasurable         Facilities and Campus Environment Committee         > Yes, It identifies specific persons responsibility         Human Resource Committee         > Strengthening of Purposeful Dialogue         > Strengthening of Participatory Governance         Curriculum and Assessment Committee         > Yes for BP2200 no for AP 2200         Information and Technology Committee         > Yes both AP 2200 and BP 2200 provide protocols.

Can we improve BP 2200 and AP 2200, and how do you recommend they be improved?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>Make it measurable and doable by revising terms /words</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>Yes, consistently update according to its effectiveness</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>Yes of course</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>Yes, more on the procedure not on the definition</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>Fine , but we should evaluate ourselves if were applying these procedures</li> </ul>
How are committee members assigned? Faculty? Staff?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>assigned by Campus Dean</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>Faculty- Immediate supervisor/ Staff- Dean/CTE</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>Campus Dean</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>Yes, more on the procedure not on the definition</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>assigned by immediate supervisor or w/ recommendation by division chair</li> <li>Staff: assigned by Directors/Dean through their VPs</li> <li>Student: SBA president is expected to participate EC meetings; SBA President should assign; is invited to appoint SBA reps to system wide committee.</li> </ul>

Г

On how many committee members are faculty required to serve? Staff?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>▶ faculty and staff should serve at least one committee</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>▶ At least one/staff , It does not say but implied one committee.</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>▶ College wide(1) Campus (1+)</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>▶ Page 3 of 6(1) Staff ( none)</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>▶ At least 1 committee</li> </ul>
Do new faculty serve on committees	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>▶ faculty and staff should serve at least one committee</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>▶ At least one/staff ,,It does not say but implied one committee.</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>▶ College wide(1) Campus (1+)</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>▶ Page 3 of 6(1) Staff ( none)</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>▶ At least 1 committee</li> </ul>

Can you recommend alternative pathways for faculty service to the college in lieu of committee service?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>➤ Coaches, committee members at the community</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>➤ Supervision/advisorship/coach to acad or non-academic club organization</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>➤ Tutoring and Recruitment</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>➤ Tutoring Services and Trainings</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>➤ No</li> </ul>
How frequently can one switch their committee of service?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>➤ Every two years</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>➤ It does not say, we recommend according to skills and ability</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>➤ 2 academic years</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>➤ Page 4 of 6 letter h (min 1 year before switching</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>➤ Must serve at least one year on a committee before switching to another committee (AP h. page 4)</li> </ul>

Г

Who has responsibility for monitoring attendance	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>▶ Dean, SSC and IC</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>▶ It does not say/it should be committee chair</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>▶ Officers of committee</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>▶ Chair page 4 of 6 ( ex officio)</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>▶ Immediate supervisors. The VPIA and DAP will also monitor issues of attendance.</li> </ul>
How do we determine who has /has not been attending?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>➢ Minutes and sign up sheets</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>➢ It does not say/attendance record</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>➢ minutes</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>➢ page 4 of 6 (i) Staff page 5 of 6 (d)</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>➢ Minutes</li> </ul>

What is the maximum recommended number of division (unit) representatives to a committee?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>▶ 1 representative of each unit</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>▶ 2</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>▶ 1 representative</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>▶ Faculty at least 1 and staff (2) page 5 of 6 (d)</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>▶ No more than 2 reps (page 5, (2.c)</li> </ul>
How are divisions (Unit) supposed to communicate committee work?	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>Personal email, minutes, monthly meeting</li> <li>Facilities and Campus Environment Committee</li> <li>Personal email</li> <li>Human Resource Committee</li> <li>Post minute on wiki site</li> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>Ex officio(VP''sDAP)Executive Comm.</li> <li>Information and Technology Committee</li> <li>Minutes posted on Wiki; committee to report during monthly meetings/All Campus Meetings</li> </ul>

<b>QUESTIONS</b>	KOSRAE CAMPUS RESPONSES
Session 3: Purposeful Dialogue	(Standing Committees)
Guiding Principle2: You may need more than one mode of communication to achieve your goal Story: "An email maybe not be enough"	<ul> <li>Finance Committee</li> <li>"No Purposeful Dialogue"</li> <li>Protocol:</li> <li>Send email and call for follow up</li> <li>Contact immediate supervisor in regards to delayed response(action)</li> <li>Resend email(documents) cc immediate supervisor</li> <li>Face to face meeting</li> <li>Strategies:</li> <li>Email, phone, voip, skype, FB, Dropbox, Mini-conference</li> </ul>

	Human Resource Committee
Story: "Think before you press"	Avoid using the reply all or cc
	➢ If you feel yourself reacting to a message with anger or
	resentment "do not respond immediately"
	Consider whether you need to wait and determine the best
	way to drain the negative emotions.
	Remember that people tend to communicate more professionally and respectfully
	Remember that email is never really private especially in the workplace.
	<ul> <li>Sending an email without reviewing or thinking can make people behave badly</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>So think before you press SEND</li> </ul>

Guiding Principle 4: Exercise patience and be sure that all voices have their chance to be heard Story: A voice not heard	<ul> <li>Records, Admission and Retention Committee</li> <li>Problems <ul> <li>Distance</li> <li>Low Bandwith</li> <li>Technical problems</li> <li>Without video</li> </ul> </li> <li>Recommendation <ul> <li>Video conference</li> <li>Skype</li> <li>Face to face</li> <li>Chairmanship (rotation among campus)</li> <li>Direct control of speakers</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Coordination <ul> <li>No proper time given to each</li> <li>Interruption/message not clear</li> <li>No respect/no patience</li> <li>No recognition on individual member</li> <li>No introduction of members</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	---

Guiding Principle # 5 Be aware of how working in a multi-cultural setting can affect communications.	<ul> <li>Curriculum and Assessment Committee</li> <li>Classroom Setting: We have different kinds of students.</li> <li>Everyone has its own view (micro, American, Filipino)</li> <li>Solutions:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Before the meeting the chairman should know the members of the committee</li> <li>The chairman should meet the members before the meeting.</li> <li>The Agenda should be given before the meeting.</li> <li>The chairman should know how to conduct meeting, manage, direct communications in cross cultural setting.</li> <li>How to manage emotional intelligence.</li> </ul>

Г

Guiding Principle # 1: Have a Goal for your Communication	Facilities and Campus Environment Committee
Story: Disaster Response at COM-FSM	The story from the Handbook is messy, it created panic,
	APPLICATION: (Kosrae Island)
	1 identify a safe place
	<ul> <li>Tofol upper</li> <li>Kuhplup malem</li> <li>Finkol utwe</li> <li>Mutente, tafunsak</li> <li>Pihkusrik, lelu</li> </ul>
	2. Coordinate other agencies
	<ul> <li>Disaster Control Dept.</li> <li>Kosrae Radio Broadcast</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>3. Mobilize people/and minimize panic</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Information drive</li> <li>Drill</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Emergency (Management Group)</li> </ul>

Guiding Principle # 3 Be sure you understand the situation before you respond	Information Communication Technology Committee Story Overview:				
	Faculty member complaining about administration lack of information communication In reality admin doing a good job, division chair was not sharing. Faculty members did not take initiative and responsibility to seek information No meetings was set.				
	<i>Tips for Success</i> Set up plan( meeting, communication etc) to share info Decide on best time Determine best type of communication Priorize your communication Follow through actions <i>Try to avoid</i>				
	Casting blame Making arguments on insufficient evidence/info Disconnecting yourself				

Story: Seven Blind men and the Elephant	Student Success Committee
	Be sure you understand the situation before you respond
	7 <sup>th</sup> man understood the other 6 as used the COM-FSM definition of Purposeful Dialogue

Question	KOSRAE CAMPUS RESPONSES
Committee Minutes	(Standing Committees)

8 Reasons why we have committee meetings	Finance Committee         Accountability, Transparency,         Data collection         Information Sharing         Best Practices         Continuous Improvement         Shared Governance         Purposeful Dialogue         Keep the Clock Running         Records, Admission, and Retention Committee         Documentary Evidences         Refer back to ideas approved previously         To identify attendance/participation         To complete unfinished business         To follow up the implementation of approved ideas/projects in the meeting agenda.         Information agrees by all involved members         To follow up on the approval of minutes by the superiors         For public awareness         Human Resource Committee         Attendance         Documentation         Progress         Record         Evidence         Decision Making         Tracking of Communication         Compensation

Curriculum and Assessment Committee
Evidence
History
Updates
Reflection
Attendance
Accreditation
Accountability
Transparency
Transparency
Student Success Committee
For compliance of Regulation
Used as reminder of the previous meetings
Keep a record of attendance
Records of topics so it can be shared.
Evidence and result of what was discussed
Justification
Record of who said what
Evidence of collaborations
To keep records of what was done before
Connects previous discussion
Performance Evaluation
Proof
Shows history and progress of the committee over time
Keeps the agenda moving forward.
Information and Communication Technology
Accountability
Attendance
Communicate to non-members
Keep Track/record (reference back to)
Evidence
Stay on task for next meeting
Transparency
Transparency

To judge progress (measuring stick)

### Evaluation

#### College of Micronesia-FSM Kosrae Campus Assessment of Mini-Governance Summit Day 1

Next to each outcome, place an "X" in the box to indicate how well you feel you have achieved each outcome after today"s session. N = 26

	Outcomes. You can:	Strongly Agree (SA)	Agree (A)	Neutral (N)	Disagree (DA)	Strongly Disagree (SDA)	No Response (NR)	Weighted Mean (WM)	Descriptive Equivalent
1.	Demonstrate understanding as to why committees must go through a formal administrative approval in order to change a committee"s meeting date, time, and name.	16	9	1	0	0	0	5.58	Strongly Agree
2.	Demonstrate awareness of Standard IV.A Decision Making Roles and Processes.	13	11	1	0	0	1	5.31	Strongly Agree
3.	Discuss <i>Participatory Governance Board Policy 2200</i> and <i>Administrative Procedure 2200</i> and offer recommendations towards improvement.	15	11	0	0	0	0	5.58	Strongly Agree
4.	Discuss and recommend <i>alternative pathways</i> for faculty service to the college in lieu of committee service.	12	8	6	0	0	0	5.23	Strongly Agree
5.	Demonstrate knowledge of the committee appointment process.	15	9	1	0	0	1	5.38	Strongly Agree
6.	Demonstrate awareness of committee"s assigned $E_X$ Officio officer and that officer"s role.	12	11	2	0	0	1	5.23	Strongly Agree
7.	Define <i>participatory governance</i> and discuss ways to improve individual, committee, and institutional efforts.	15	9	2	0	0	0	5.5	Strongly Agree
8.	Explain the role of the chairperson.	9	14	2	0	0	1	5.12	Agree
	OVERALL WEIGHTED MEAN							5.37	Strongly Agree

No Response = 1-1.83, Strongly Disagree = 1.84-2.66, Disagree = 2.67- 3.49, Neutral = 3.50-4.32, Agree = 4.33- 5.15, Strongly Agree = 5.16-6.00

#### College of Micronesia-FSM

#### Kosrae Campus

#### Assessment of Mini Governance Summit Day 2

Next to each outcome, place an "X" in the box to indicate how well you feel you have achieved that outcome after today"s session.(N=26)

Outcomes. You can:	Strongly Agree (SA)	Agree (A)	Neutral (N)	Disagree (DA)	Strongly Disagree (SDA)	No Response (NR)	Weighted Mean (WM)	Descriptive Equivalent
1. Demonstrate awareness of the college priority and strategic direction to <i>Focus on Student Success</i> .	11	11	2	0	0	2	5.04	Agree
2. Demonstrate awareness of the <i>Quality Focus Essay</i> presented in our Self Evaluation Report that is also focused on student success.	9	13	2	0	0	2	4.96	Agree
3. Demonstrate awareness of the Mini-Work Plan	9	11	3	0	0	3	4.77	Agree
<ol> <li>Discuss and commit to ways in which you can improve student success over the next three years.</li> </ol>	8	11	6	0	0	1	4.92	Agree
5. Discuss ways in which your committee can play a role to improve student success.	10	11	3	0	0	2	4.96	Agree
<ol> <li>Demonstrate awareness of the work conducted by the Core Values Working Group.</li> </ol>	10	11	4	1	0	0	5.15	Agree
7. Discuss COM-FSM Core Values, their meaning, and their purpose.	10	10	4	1	0	1	5	Agree
8. Locate and apply the Strengthening Purposeful Dialogue handbook.	14	9	1	1	0	1	5.27	Strongly Agree
9. Articulate institutional priorities that should drive committee decisions.	9	12	3	0	0	2	4.92	Agree
<ol> <li>Demonstrate awareness of where to locate the college mission, strategic plan, Integrated Educational Master Plan (IEMP), policies, and administrative procedures.</li> </ol>	11	12	2	0	0	1	5.19	Strongly Agree
<ol> <li>Articulate to whom committee decisions and recommendations should be communicated for informational purposes and for administrative consideration, action, and feedback.</li> </ol>	9	15	1	0	0	1	5.15	Strongly Agree
12. Discuss and recommend ways to improve board and administrative feedback to the college community.	12	9	4	0	0	1	5.15	Strongly Agree
13. Articulate strategies for the chairperson to run effective meetings.	11	10	4	0	0	1	5.11	Agree
14. Discuss and recommend strategies for improving and for communicating committee minutes.	15	9	0	0	0	2	5.27	Strongly Agree
15. Articulate the importance of posting minutes to the COM-FSM wiki in a timely manner.	15	8	1	0	0	2	5.23	Strongly Agree
16. Commit to improving practices for more efficient, effective, enjoyable meetings.	13	11	0	1	0	1	5.27	Strongly Agree
OVERALL WEIGHTED MEAN							5.09	Agree

No Response = 1-1.83, Strongly Disagree = 1.84-2.66, Disagree = 2.67- 3.49, Neutral = 3.50-4.32, Agree = 4.33- 5.15, Strongly Agree = 5.16-6.00

17. What did you enjoy <u>the most</u> about the Governance Summit?	19 What did you learn that you feel will be most useful to you in your college responsibilities?
<ul> <li>Story writing</li> <li>Connecting Stories</li> <li>Group Interaction and presentation</li> <li>To work close with each other</li> <li>Everyone had the chance to participate in the group work. Wish those who attended other workshops could share also.</li> <li>I enjoyed the each standing committee's viewpoints as reflection of their experiences in regards to governance and institution</li> <li>Everything</li> <li>The camaraderie</li> <li>Working together with colleagues</li> <li>Learning the Roles and responsibilities of other committee teams</li> <li>Information sharing and questions during presentation</li> <li>Teamwork</li> <li>Help to prepare for upcoming accreditation</li> <li>Group activities/Discussion</li> <li>Good food "good sharing</li> <li>Shared Governance</li> <li>The topic purposeful Dialogue</li> <li>Strengthening Purposeful Dialogue, the group work activities</li> <li>Shared Governance issue</li> <li>Process of sharing information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shared Governance</li> <li>Shared Governance and dialogue – a must for everyone, success means working as one.</li> <li>Consider students success in the workplace, improve more</li> <li>I had learned the importance of purposeful dialogue as a necessary component in developing positive results to maintain and enhance student success.</li> <li>Purposeful Dialogue and accreditation standards</li> <li>That I have a role to play and that it is significant to student success</li> <li>Everything was useful especially dialogue stories</li> <li>Core V alues</li> <li>Importance of Shared governance and purposeful dialogue point of view.</li> <li>My role and responsibility</li> <li>Communication is very important</li> <li>To do assessment and program review</li> <li>Involvement, voice out</li> <li>Communication / Participation</li> <li>Purposeful Dialogue</li> <li>Every topic were interesting and guiding / leading the college to provide quality services and instruction for student success</li> <li>Do assessment and conduct program review</li> <li>Most of the information's shared are all important</li> <li>Point where meeting be realistic to all campuses in terms of audio and video</li> <li>Focusing on outcomes and working toward student success</li> </ul>

18. What did you enjoy the least about the Governance Summit?	20 What would you improve for future summits?
	Purposeful dialogue
• Why do we have minutes	• More presentations on a variety of programs and topics
Core Values	• Enforce ways to improve attendance(Participants punctuality)
• Time- not all members come on time thus affecting the session.	• Focus more on student success
• Aware of each other's responsibility	• Some speakers have low tone, the way they talk is not clear, Therefore
• The issue on eliminating prayer in COMFSM programs and activities	next time have microphone or system in case for those who have soft
• The length of group presentation could have been effectively conducted	voices.
through precise instruction to allow effective use of time.	• I would recommend cooler environment.
• No complaints	• Shorter presentations and hands on group activities
• Long afternoons	• Time management
• Enjoy everything	• To be more specific on some presentations
• Some of the activities used a lot of time and were repetitive after 1 <sup>st</sup> few	
groups enjoyable though	• Make the slides easier to read from back-larger print
• Long response by each group	• Follow schedule
• Getting individual line item ideas to each committee members and	• Punctuality, more participatory in sharing ideas
combined and forms a title.	• Full Participation
• The long break( Lunch break)	• Keep doing this consistently It's a thumps up
• The point where religion is not regarded as part of core values	• Direct personnel to conduct the summit
• Long presentation	• Pick more from each committee from off campus to join and share ideas
-	

### Certificate



Mini Governance Summit

### Kosrae Governance Mini Summit

College of Micronesia-FSM Kosrae Campus

February 4 & 5 2016

Lecture Room  $1\,\&2$ 

# REGISTRATION

Day 1 (February 4, 2016)	AM	PM
FINANCE COMMITTEE	Proces	
Eileen Nena	_j	A1?tt.;- </td
Okippor ititur(	• • • • •	
rr Al k J. Philip	rk	
Elsa J.Cornelius	1>	!£.A
Wiggf!n Sigrah	\.::C)	\.t-V.P
Samuel Palik	Sp.L.L.	ACI
HUMAN RESOURCE COMMITTEE	1	
Tara Y.Tara	Man	Ale
Kenye Killin		14X
Srue-Miako Sahm	Henhon	Keim
Paliknoah Sigrah		
Sailk Cornelius	Х	Ir
Beaker Kun	BarlingEN	Parker Si VU
INFORMATION COMMUNICATION AND TECHNOLOGY COMMMEE		
Renton Isaac		'J!; <iltl< td=""></iltl<>
Miguel Sanchez	Ar-	N.V. O.L.
HirakiNoda	Lot'0	<u> </u>
Spencer Salik	Ā	
Srue R.Charley	)(. !hJ. 1'-	JA.I /
Derick son Langu	'/. J	
RECRUITMENT, A DMISSION and RETENTION COMMITTEE		. 1
Dokowe GPorgp	ufl;n	
Robert Ionas		
Dr.Virendra Verma	l.mi	'_'
JulieN. Timothy		c;rwli 0WJ_ffk'-1
Nicky Lonna	/_X	<u>v</u>
Jesse Jokade	1/	
	/ 0	

Day 1 (February 4, 2016)	AM	PM
STUDENT SUCCESS COMMITTEE	0	1
Arthur Jonas	Arrad	mon
Rosalinda Bueno	Ryon burn	Ban grient
Murphy Rbauw	Aller	THE
Mary K. Sigrah	Manipul	water
Mixon Jonas	AN	Which
Shirley 5. Jackson	184	88
Henry J. Alokoa	wha	Million
CURRICULUM and ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE		DA.
Nena Mike	I notio	N. Mile
Sharon Oviedo	Sala	1 Alu
Michael Williams	- AND	1 AS
Maver Jonathan	N	CBS.
Jackson Albert	Im	phy
Meryulyn Livae	min	Mandralas
FACILITIES AND CAPUS ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE		ů V
Teodoro Bueno		и н. 1.1 - 1.1
Lyte Chapap	<u>r</u> 4   ; -	k:X I⁺ 'ヱ'
Lanson alik	<i>f.</i> ,	/
John Johnnyboy	QA	Cf1<
Telenna M. Telenna		
arlene kephas	;b1t<_	E
Nixon Dohses		1

### Kosrae Governance Mini Summit

#### College of Micronesia-FSM Kosrae Campus

February 4 & 5 2016 Lecture Room 1&2

# REGISTRATION

Day 2 (February 5, 2016)	AM	PM
FINANCE COMMITTEE	define	elher,
Eileen Nena		
Skipper Ittu¢		88
Al ik J. Philip		Alun.
Elsa J. Cornelius		- mus
Wiggen Sigrah		in
Samuel Palik		
HUMAN RESOURCE COMMITEE		
Tara Y. Tara	Atur	When
Kenye Kilin	Albert	Keleit
Srue-Miako Sahm	Kahn	Stahn
Paliknoah Sigrah	X	I
Salik Cornelius	×	
Beaker Kun	×	
INFORMATION COMMUNICATION AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE		
Renton Isaac	Rectal	State &
Miguel Sanch <= 1	_+- 'h _,D- i-=-l,	Vry :1 :::)!':j
HirokiNoda		" <u>"</u> - /
Spencer SaliJ-		<u>ا</u> ۱ اا
SrueR.Charley	-	U WJA
Derickson Langu	(<	'{. )
RECRUITMENT, ADMISSION and RETENTION COMMITTEE		
Dokowe George	( (./-1	(!∖ <b>f1</b> \
	/( = \ ('9).	(!\f•.1
Dokowe George		(!\f•.1\ v 'l{h,∕
Dokowe George Robert Jonas	/( = \ ('9).	
Dokowe George Robert Jonas Dr. Virendra Verma	/( = \ ( <sup>9</sup> ) <sup>.</sup> , <b>Y'V</b>	
Dokowe George Robert Jonas Dr. Virendra Verma Julie N. Timothy	/( - \ ( <sup>'9</sup> ) <sup>.</sup> , <b>Y'V</b> , 1(	
Dokowe George Robert Jonas Dr.Virendra Verma Julie N. Timothy Nicky Lonna	/( _ \ ('9) , Y'V , I( 	
Dokowe George Robert Jonas Dr.Virendra Verma Julie N. Timothy Nicky Lonna	/( _ \ ('9) , Y'V , I( 	
Dokowe George Robert Jonas Dr.Virendra Verma Julie N. Timothy Nicky Lonna	/( _ \ ('9) , Y'V , I( 	

Day 2 (February 5, 2016)	AM	PM
STUDENT SUCCESS COMMITTEE		
Arthur Jonas		amar
Rosalinda Bueno	., . J	fl: /
wurphy Ribauw		
Mary K.Sigrah		Mariant
Mixon Jonas	Nickt	Wint
Shirley S. Jackson	88	1880
Henry J. Alokoa	Mala	Alla
CURRICULUM and ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE		
Nena Mike	Laffele	Sinnele
Sharon Oviedo	Ahi	AP 2
Michael W i	TAU	
Iliams Maver	CARS	AS
Jonathan Jackson		P
Albert Meryulyn	Allequeptie	Henry Luce
Livae		∎ U -
FACILITIES AND CAPUS ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE		VI.
Teodoro Bueno	14	NA .
Lyte Chapap	1an	R
Lanson alik	Gn	
John Johnnyboy		
Tolenna M. Tolenna	u	tAX.
Marlene kephas		
Nixon Deboos	1	1

Nixon Dohses











